



CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

# 1986 CLCV CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE VOTING CHART

---

---

## THE 1986 LEGISLATURE

---

---

The politics of the 1985-86 session were dominated by an obsessive interest in toxics legislation. With public opinion surveys and legislative mail registering fundamental dissatisfaction with the way government is coping with toxics, politicians once again competed for opportunities to demonstrate their commitment and effectiveness in dealing with the state's waste management problems.

This sensitivity to the political winds brought victories to conservationists: bills to allow increased public involvement in planning and siting hazardous waste facilities, to improve coordination between the various government entities dealing with toxics, and to phaseout the landfilling of untreated hazardous waste. By the end of the session, over two dozen bills dealing with one or more aspects of toxics were on the Governor's desk. He vetoed half and signed the rest into law, once again demonstrating his often obstructionist response to the legislature's initiatives.

The legislature also passed a bill to study three California rivers for inclusion in the State Wild and Scenic Rivers System and to establish a clear procedure for nominating rivers for inclusion in the system. On the resource recovery front, environmentalists finally succeeded in passing a bottle bill which will start California along the road to more widespread recycling.

The session had its share of bad bills as well. Bills were introduced to prevent citizens from using the initiative process for growth control, to prevent planners from using the sewer hook-up permitting process to slow growth, and to weaken the state's timber harvest laws with regard to their environmental safeguards.

It is interesting to note that a lot of the action in the legislature continues to occur at the committee level. All of the environmentally destructive bills rated on this year's chart were killed or stopped in committee. Unfortunately, though, committees are increasingly using the technique of sending a bill to "suspense file" to avoid being on record as having opposed the bill. The bill then sits in suspense until a committee member requests that it be brought up for a vote. Often the bill dies without a vote.

We are pleased to note that the average score of our legislators has gone up significantly this year. We hope that this will be an ongoing trend.

This chart is only part of the story of the legislature. It does not show the important work behind the scenes that contributed to the success or failure of our targeted bills. That the legislature produced as much solid work as it has in the last few years is no accident. For this we owe a debt of gratitude to the legislators who authored those bills, and influenced their passage.

---

---

## SPEAK OUT

---

---

Contrary to public speculation, legislators rarely hear from their constituents. The most effective way to express your approval or disapproval of your representatives' performance is to communicate with them directly—by phone, by mailgram or by letter. Because so few people take time to contact their representatives, one letter is often counted as representing the opinion of 500 other voters.

During the legislative session, letters to your representatives can be sent c/o State Capitol, Sacramento, CA 95814. District office addresses and phone numbers are listed in the white pages of your phone book under "California, State of."

**AB 2870 (BRONZAN)**

## Toxic Waste Facilities

Would allow local governments more control over the siting of hazardous waste facilities within their jurisdiction.

**SUPPORTED;** Held in Senate Appropriations Suspense file.

**AB 2948 (TANNER)**

## Hazardous Waste Siting

Would establish a new process for local governments to plan and site hazardous waste facilities, allowing increased public involvement in the process and calling for a phaseout of land disposal of untreated hazardous wastes within a few years.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted

**AB 4001 (CONNELLY)**

## Testing/monitoring of Chemical Plants

Would establish a multi-agency state review team to determine proper testing and monitoring procedures for chemical plants operating in the state.

**SUPPORTED;** Withdrawn by author.

**SCA 31 (TORRES)**

## Toxics Bill of Rights

Would have placed into the California Constitution a toxics "Bill of Rights." These rights included the right to clean water, to warnings about exposure to hazardous substances and the right of citizens to see toxics laws enforced.

**SUPPORTED;** Killed in Senate Appropriations Committee.

**SB 1048 (TORRES)**

## Toxics Reorganization

Would consolidate toxics responsibilities into the Environmental Affairs Agency and create a Toxics Commission to oversee toxic waste controls. Offered as an alternative to Governor Deukmejian's badly flawed reorganization plans (GRP 1 and GRP 2).

**SUPPORTED;** Vetoed by the Governor.

**SB 1500 (ROBERTI)**

## Landfill Phaseout

Would prohibit the landfilling of hazardous wastes in California and at the same time create a workable system of treatment alternatives consistent with federal guidelines.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted

**SB 2190 (ROSENTHAL)**

## Ocean Incineration

Would authorize the state to deny permits for ocean incineration of hazardous wastes off the California Coast until health and environmental studies have been made and all safety measures are in place.

**SUPPORTED;** Vetoed by the Governor.

**AIR POLLUTION****AB 1276 (CAMPBELL)**

## Air Pollution Penalties

Would increase the fines and penalties for major violations of the state's air pollution regulations.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted.

**AB 3049 (MOUNTJOY)**

## Air Emissions Standards

Would have seriously weakened enforcement of air pollution control requirements by, in effect, allowing used cars to be sold without being in compliance with emissions standards. (Besides making enforcement of air quality laws more difficult, it would place the burden of compliance on the buyer.)

**OPPOSED;** Killed in Senate Transportation Committee.

**AB 3989 (SHER)**

## Air Quality

Would prohibit Air Pollution Control Districts from issuing permits or determinations of compliance to a Resource Recovery Project unless the project met current state and federal air and toxics standards, and a health risk assessment is done to determine that the project posed no significant health dangers.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted.

**WATER RESOURCES****AB 859 (SHER)**

## Safe Drinking Water Act

Would correct many of the State's inadequacies regarding contamination of our drinking water by setting standards for all contaminants, stopping delivery of water contaminated above health standards, requiring consumer notification if standards are exceeded and the use of the best available clean up technologies, as well as requiring that the effect on health of exposure to several contaminants at the same time be considered by regulators.

**SUPPORTED;** Held in Senate Appropriations Committee suspense file.

**AB 2133 (JONES)**

## Drinking Water Standards

Promoted by the agricultural industry, this would have set water quality standards based on economic and technological considerations, in effect allowing the legalization of the existing contamination levels of drinking water because it would cost "too much" to clean up. It would also encourage the contamination of as yet untainted water resources up to the level "allowed" by these standards.

**OPPOSED;** Stalled in Senate Toxics Committee.

**SB 2612 (CARPENTER)**

## Duke's Ditch Revisited

An attempt to revive Governor Deukmejian's through-Delta water project, designed to provide more water to the State Water Project with no consideration of the environmental impact.

**OPPOSED;** Died in Senate Appropriations Committee.

**PARKS/WILDLIFE****AB 846 (CAMPBELL)**

## Trout Stream Protection

Revived after being killed in the Assembly last year, this bill would restrict the development of hydro projects on the state's wild trout streams.

**SUPPORTED;** Died in Senate Agriculture and Water Resources Committee.

**AB 2915 (FARR)**

## Gill Netting

Would extend the areas closed to gill net fishing from 15 to 20 fathoms in those areas where sea otters are being drowned by the nets. Would also provide low interest loans to fisherman for experimental, less damaging gear, and for on-board monitoring equipment.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted. Vetoed by the Governor.

**AB 3101 (SHER)**

## Wild and Scenic Rivers

Would require the study of three rivers, the East Fork Carson, West Walker and McCloud, for potential inclusion in the State Wild and Scenic Rivers System. Major construction on the study portions of the rivers would be prohibited until 1990, and a procedure for the Legislature to nominate rivers for addition to the system would be established.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted.

**AB 3889 (N. WATERS.)****Mountain Lions**

Would authorize the Fish & Game Department to adopt regulations to allow the killing of mountain lions that damage livestock, a power that department already has.

**OPPOSED;** Died in Senate Natural Resources Committee

**SB 805 (PRESLEY)****Habitat Preservation**

Originally a bond act to provide funding for the purchase of habitat for rare and endangered species unique to California, the bill was amended near the end of the session make it a direct allocation of 1 million dollars from the Endangered Species License Plate Fund.

**SUPPORTED;** Died on the Assembly Floor.

**COAST****AB 2771 (FERGUSON)****Coastal Access**

Would exempt new construction in the coastal zone from coastal access requirements under certain conditions, thereby making public access to the coast more difficult.

**OPPOSED;** Failed in Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

**AB 3096 (McCLINTOCK)****Coastal Access**

Under existing law the use of private property by the public for recreational purposes can not turn into a vested right to use the property, with the exception of coastal property. This exemption, created to protect traditional coastal access ways, would have been eliminated by this bill; retroactive to 1972.

**OPPOSED;** Failed in Assembly Judiciary Committee.

**AB 3501 (HAYDEN)****Ocean Pollution**

Would make the Department of Health Services responsible for monitoring the health risks of consuming fish contaminated with toxic materials, and would require the DOHS to develop a health risk assessment program with ongoing monitoring.

**SUPPORTED;** Vetoed by the Governor.

**AB 3802 (FARR)****Coastal Commission Staffing**

Would require that the Coastal Commission have enough staff to do long-range studies and planning for offshore oil review, coastal access development, coastal toxics and marine pollution issues and other areas of Commission responsibility.

**SUPPORTED;** Held in Senate Appropriations Suspense file.

**AB 4044 (FARR)****Offshore Oil Drilling Liability**

Would impose strict liability on responsible parties for damages to marine resources caused by the discharge of natural gas, oil or drilling wastes into the marine environment. Would hold oil companies responsible for costs of rehabilitating as well as cleaning up wildlife damaged by spills, for loss of profits and revenues to both business and government caused by spills, and for damages caused by seismic testing.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted.

**OTHERS****AB 1107 (CAMPBELL)****Native Plant Protection**

Would ban the sale, in California, of certain exotic weeds that would choke out or otherwise threaten the existence of native plant species.

**SUPPORTED;** Vetoed by the Governor.

**Bottle Bill**

Places a 1-cent redemption value on all soft drink and beer containers sold in the state, which would rise to 3-cents if recycling goals were not met within a set period. Establishes redemption centers and allows consumers who return containers to receive a portion of the revenues from unreturned containers.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted.

**AB 2697 (SHER)****Board of Forestry Appointments**

Would revise the method by which Board of Forestry members are appointed. Currently, the nine members, who are responsible for overseeing application of environmental standards governing commercial timber harvesting, are appointed by the governor. This bill would give two appointments to the Speaker of the Assembly and two to the Senate Rules Committee, in a system similar to Coastal Commission and other regulatory board appointments.

**SUPPORTED;** Killed in Senate Governmental Organization Committee.

**AB 2710 (ROOS)****Off-highway Vehicle Users Fee**

Reinstates the user-fee approach to financing of public off-highway vehicle lands by requiring all users of OHV recreation areas on public lands (including vehicles also registered for street use), to purchase a proper registration sticker, and basing any transfers from the Fuel Tax Fund into the OHV fund only on the number of vehicles legally registered in the state OHV program.

**SUPPORTED;** Enacted.

**AB 2907 (FERGUSON)****Nullification of Growth Control Initiatives**

Would have nullified several successful growth control initiatives enacted by citizens in cities and counties around the state, and would have destroyed many land-use regulations, including density restrictions of any kind; lot size, setback and landscaping restrictions and park and open space zoning.

**OPPOSED;** Failed in Assembly Local Government Committee.

**AB 2908 (FERGUSON)****Development Permits**

Would make it almost impossible for localities to impose sewage hook-up moratoriums, which have traditionally been an important method of growth control.

**OPPOSED;** Died in Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

**AB 4413 (KILLEA)****Restoration and Facilities Bond Act**

Would have placed a bond act on the November 1986 ballot to provide additional funding for the acquisition and restoration programs of the Coastal Conservancy and Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, as well as to implement the Big Sur Local Coastal Plan.

**SUPPORTED;** Failed on the Senate Floor.

**SB 1717 (MADDY)****Urban Land Conservation**

Would place before the voters a bond act to fund the acquisition of open space or "greenbelt" lands close to urban areas.

**SUPPORTED;** Died in Assembly Ways and Means.

**SB 2394/2554 (KEENE)****Timber Harvest**

Weakens forest practice laws by allowing timber companies to develop 10-year harvest plans, which would then be immune from any further changes or environmental requirements. Would also allow for a much shorter period of time in which they could be studied. This would swamp the Forestry Department staff, leaving them unable to properly verify the environmental impact of the harvest plans.

**OPPOSED;** Died in Senate Natural Resources Committee.

## SENATE FLOOR

OVERALL SENATE AVERAGE 91%

% PRO VOTES

	DISTRICT													% PRO VOTES						
		AB 1107 8/22	AB 1276 8/28	AB 2020 8/28	AB 2710 8/25	AB 2915 8/27	AB 2948 8/27	AB 3101 8/14	AB 3501 8/25	AB 3989 8/28	AB 4044 8/22	AB 4413 8/30	SB 805 1/30	SB 1048 2/6	SB 1500 6/19	SB 1717 6/12	SB 2190 8/30	1986 FLOOR	1986 COMM.	1985 FLOOR
Alquist	13	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y			100	93	71
Ayala	34	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	Y	-	86	88	64
Bergeson	37	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	87	-	50
Beverly	29	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	87	93	59
Boatwright	7	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	82	65
Campbell W.	31	-	-	-	Y	-	-	-	Y	-	-	-	Y	n	Y	-	Y	n/a	-	37
Carpenter	33	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	78
Craven	38	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	n	Y	-	Y	92	-	56
Davis E.	19	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	-	n	Y	Y	Y	92	-	43
Deddeh	40	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	100	100	75
Dills	30	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	-	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	80	90	57
Doolittle	1	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	n	n	Y	n	-	Y	Y	64	-	23
Ellis	39	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	-	Y	n	Y	-	Y	71	-	31
Foran	8	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	n	n	Y	Y	Y	n	-	77	82	73
Garamendi	5	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	65
Greene B.	27	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	100	-	63
Green L.	6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	87
Hart	18	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	89
Keene	2	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	81
Lockyer	10	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	78
Maddy	14	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	87	92	44
Marks	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	94
McCorquodale	12	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	65
Mello	17	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	93	100	77
Montoya	26	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y	Y	-	100	-	61
Morgan	11	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	Y	93	83	70
Nielsen	4	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	-	Y	n	Y	n	Y	69	62	53
Petris	9	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	71
Presley	36	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	94	74	78
Richardson	25	n	n	-	-	-	-	-	Y	-	n	-	-	n	-	Y	-	n/a	0	n/a
Robbins	20	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	100	-	65
Roberti	23	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	82
Rosenthal	22	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	85
Royce	32	n	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	-	n	Y	n	Y	57	-	35
Russell	21	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	-	-	-	-	Y	n	-	n	-	62	-	20
Seymour	35	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	-	Y	n	Y	-	Y	77	-	36
Stiern	16	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	86	-	69
Torres	24	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	100	100	78
Vuich	15	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	94	-	47
Watson	28	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	100	-	87



ASSEMBLY FLOOR

% PRO VOTES

OVERALL ASSEMBLY AVERAGE 68%

Table with columns for District (AB 846 1/30 to SB 2190 8/30), 1986 Floor, 1986 Comm., and 1985 Floor. Rows list names like Agnos, Alatorre, Allen, etc.

Vertical text on the right edge of the page, possibly a page number or identifier.

POL

**KEY**

Y = Yes, pro-conservation vote  
 y = Yes, anti-conservation vote  
 N = No, pro-conservation vote  
 n = no, anti-conservation vote  
 - = Absent or not voting

**SENATE COMMITTEES**

SENATE REPUBLICAN'S AVERAGE 78%

SENATE DEMOCRAT'S AVERAGE 97%

	SCA 31 4/17	SB 1500 4/15	SB 2190 4/7	AB 859 8/14	AB 2870 7/1	AB 2948 6/24	AB 3501 7/1
<b>Toxics</b>							
Hart	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Keene	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Lockyer	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Nielsen	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y	-
Presley	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y
Richardson	-	-	-	n	-	-	-
Rosenthal	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Morgan ##	n	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
Torres #	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**APPROPRIATIONS**

	SCA 31 6/16	SB 805 1/27	SB 1500 6/18	SB 1717 6/4	SB 2190 6/18	AB 1276 8/25	AB 2020 4/16	AB 2710 8/19	AB 2915 8/25	AB 2948 8/25	AB 3501 8/19	AB 3989 8/14	AB 4413 7/8
Alquist	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ayala	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Campbell	n	Y	Y	-	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deddah	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-
Dills	n	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Foran	n	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	n
Maddy	n	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Beverly ##	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Boatwright #	n	n	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Judiciary**

Doolittle	-	-	-	AB 1276 7/8
Keene	Y	Y	-	AB 4044 7/10
Marks	Y	Y	-	
Petris	Y	Y	-	
Presley	Y	Y	-	
Richardson	n	n	-	
Roberti	-	Y	-	
Torres	-	Y	-	
Watson	Y	-	-	
Davis ##	Y	n	-	
Lockyer #	Y	Y	-	

	SB 805 4/11	SB 1717 4/17	SB 2394 5/27	AB 2020 3/12	AB 2915 7/8	AB 3101 6/25	AB 3802 7/3	AB 4413 6/25
<b>Natural Resources &amp; Wildlife</b>								
Garamendi	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hart	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Marks	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
McCorquodale	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mello	Y	Y	N	Y	-	Y	-	-
Nielsen	-	n	y	Y	-	-	-	-
Seymour	Y	n	-	Y	-	-	-	Y
Richardson ##	-	-	y	-	-	-	-	-
Presley #	Y	Y	y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y

**Agriculture & Water**

	SB 2554 6/18	SB 2612 5/20	AB 1107 7/9
Bergesen	y	y	Y
Craven	-	y	Y
Doolittle	y	N	-
Mello	-	N	Y
Montoya	-	y	-
Nielsen	y	N	-
Presley	y	y	Y
Stiern	-	y	-
Vuich	y	y	-
McCorquodale ##	-	N	Y
Ayala #	y	y	Y

**Transportation**

Bergesen	-	-	AB 2710 7/7
Beverly	Y	N	-
Deddah	Y	N	-
Green, L.	-	N	-
Hart	Y	N	-
Morgan	-	-	-
Seymour	-	-	-
Torres	Y	-	-
Vuich	Y	-	-
Ellis ##	Y	y	-
Foran #	-	N	-

**ASSEMBLY COMMITTEES**

ASSEMBLY REPUBLICAN'S AVERAGE 36%

ASSEMBLY DEMOCRAT'S AVERAGE 91%

	AB 846 1/27	AB 859 6/25	AB 1107 1/22	AB 1276 6/19	AB 2020 1/27	AB 2133 6/25	SB 2190 8/28	AB 2697 4/16	AB 2710 5/21	AB 2870 5/6	AB 2908 5/28	AB 2915 5/20	AB 2948 4/30	AB 3049 4/16	AB 3101 5/7	AB 3501 5/14	AB 3802 6/11	AB 3889 5/14	AB 3989 4/30	AB 4001 5/28	AB 4413 5/28	SB 805 7/2	SB 1048 1/22	SB 1500 8/26	
<b>Ways &amp; Means</b>																									
Vasconcellos #	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Baker	Y	Y	n	-	y	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	
Agnos	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	
Bader	Y	Y	n	n	-	y	n	n	Y	n	y	Y	Y	y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	
Bronzan	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y		
Brown, D.	n	Y	n	n	-	y	n	n	n	n	y	n	Y	y	Y	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	
Calderon	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	
Campbell	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	
Condit	* Y *	-	* y *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	Y * * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	
Connelly	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	-	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Eaves	Y	*	Y	*	Y	*	Y	Y	Y	-	N	Y	Y	y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Herger	-	Y	-	n	-	y	n	n	Y	-	y	Y	Y	y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	-	n	Y	
Hill	Y	Y	n	n	-	y	n	n	n	-	y	-	Y	y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Isenberg	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Johnson	-	Y	n	n	-	y	n	n	Y	n	y	-	Y	y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	-	Y	
Johnston	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Leonard	-	Y	n	n	n	y	Y	n	n	Y	y	n	Y	y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	n	Y	
Lewis	-	Y	-	n	-	y	Y	n	n	n	y	n	Y	y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	
Margolin	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	-	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	
McClintock	n	n	n	n	n	y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	
O'Connell	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Peace	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	n	N	Y	Y	y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Roos	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	-	N	Y	Y	y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Waters, M.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	

	AB 846 4/29	AB 2915 4/18	AB 3889 4/14	SB 805 3/10	SB 1717 6/26
<b>Water, Parks &amp; Wildlife</b>					
Areias	n	Y	Y	Y	Y
Campbell	Y	Y	n	Y	Y
Filante	-	Y	-	Y	Y
Hauser	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Herger	n	Y	Y	Y	n
Isenberg	n	Y	n	Y	-
Katz	Y	Y	n	Y	Y
Kelly	n	Y	Y	Y	n
Peace	Y	Y	Y	-	-
Waters, N.	n	Y	Y	Y	-
Wyman	n	-	Y	n	n
Allen##	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Costa #	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

	AB 4413 4/28	SB 805 6/25	SB 1717 7/10
<b>Public Finance</b>			
Baker	-	Y	Y
Cortese	Y	Y	Y
Frazer	Y	Y	Y
Hannigan	Y	Y	Y
Harris	Y	Y	-
Johnson	-	-	Y
Jones	-	Y	Y
McAlister	Y	Y	Y
Papan	Y	Y	Y
Roos	Y	Y	-
Waters, M.	Y	Y	-
Seastrand##	-	Y	Y
Robinson #	-	Y	Y

	AB 859 5/8	AB 2133 6/12	AB 2870 4/2	AB 2948 4/2	AB 3501 4/16	AB 4001 5/7	SB 1048 1/16	SB 1500 8/14	SB 2190 7/7
<b>Toxics</b>									
Bader	Y	y	n	Y	-	Y	n	-	n
Clute	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Connelly	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Duffy	-	y	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Farr	Y	-	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Grisham	Y	y	n	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y
Hayden	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Johnston	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-
Katz	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Killea	*	*	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Konnyu	Y	y	Y	Y	n	Y	-	Y	Y
La Follette	Y	y	n	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n
Sher	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
Wright	Y	y	n	Y	Y	n	n	Y	Y
Bradely##	Y	y	n	Y	Y	n	n	Y	n
Tanner #	Y	y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y


	AB 2710 4/9	AB 3049 3/19
<b>Transportation</b>		
Allen	Y	Y
Areias	Y	Y
Clute	Y	N
Eaves	Y	Y
Ferguson	-	Y
Grisham	Y	Y
Harris	Y	Y
Johnston	*	*
Killea	Y	Y
Lancaster	Y	-
Papan	Y	Y
Robinson	-	-
Tanner	-	-
Wyman	Y	Y
Frizzelle##	Y	Y
Katz #	Y	Y

	AB 1276 5/7	AB 2020 5/14	SB 2190 8/11	AB 2697 3/18	AB 2771 4/15	AB 3101 4/8	AB 3802 4/8	AB 3989 4/1	AB 4413 4/8
<b>Natural Resources</b>									
Allen	n	n	n	n	-	Y	n	-	Y
Bates	Y	Y	Y	-	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Costa	Y	Y	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	n
Farr	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hannigan	Y	n	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	-	Y
Hauser	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Jones	n	n	n	n	y	n	n	Y	n
La Follette	n	-	n	-	-	Y	n	Y	-
O'Connell	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Roos	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	-	Y
Statham	n	n	-	-	-	Y	-	Y	-
Rogers##	n	n	n	n	y	-	n	Y	n
Sher #	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y


#Chair      ##Vice-Chair      \*Not in office and/or on Committee when vote was taken.

# DISTRICT MAPS

COURTESY OF THE SF EXAMINER



## STATE SENATE



## STATE ASSEMBLY

### WHAT IS THE LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS?

The California League of Conservation Voters (CLCV) is the non-partisan campaign arm of the environmental movement in California. The League works to protect the environmental quality of our state by electing conservation-minded candidates and passing environmentally sound propositions.

League canvassers communicate directly with hundreds of thousands of Californians every year. In addition to providing information on legislators' environmental voting records, they register voters, recruit volunteers, generate letters to targeted representatives, identify "conservation voters" and get out the vote on Election Day.

CLCV also prepares radio spots for key candidates and issues, conducts electoral training sessions for environmental activists, mobilizes precinct workers and other political volunteers, and holds forums to provide the public with an opportunity to meet and talk with elected officials and candidates. CLCV has 40,000 members.

CLCV would like to thank and acknowledge the following groups and individuals for their invaluable assistance in compiling this chart: the Sierra Club, the Planning and Conservation League, the League for Coastal Protection, Defenders of Wildlife, Friends of the River, the Mono Lake Committee, Californians Against Waste, the American Lung Association and Ms. Mari Haber.

### HOW TO USE THE CHART

The voting chart, which you will find by folding out these pages, evaluates the 1985 session of the state legislature. It lists the floor and committee votes on key bills relating to the environment. The votes tabulated in the chart are considered by CLCV to be the most environmentally significant votes for each bill. In all cases, the dates of the actual votes are listed next to the bill numbers at the top of each column.

The percentages to the right of each legislator's voting record indicate the level of pro-environment votes cast. Every legislator with ten or more votes is assigned a percentage score. *Abstentions and absences are not figured into the final score.* Because this method of scoring does not penalize legislators for poor attendance, we urge our members to check their legislator's attendance records and ask them for an explanation if there is an unreasonable number of missing votes.

Legislators with five or more cumulative committee votes are given committee scores in addition to their floor scores.

**SAN FRANCISCO**  
942 Market St., #603  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 397-7780

**LOS ANGELES**  
12217 Santa Monica Blvd.  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
(213) 826-8812

**BERKELEY**  
2131 University Avenue #436  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(415) 845-1965

CLCV is a Federal and State political committee. Copies of our report are filed with the Federal Election Commission in Washington, DC and with the Fair Political Practices Commission in Sacramento.

#### FIELD RECEIPT

- I want to protect the environment by electing politicians who care!
- Enclosed is my contribution of \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
**\$20    \$25    \$50    \$100    \$500**
- I would like to volunteer in the campaigns of CLCV endorsed candidates.

I need to register to vote. Please send me registration materials.  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State: \_\_\_\_\_  
Zip: \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Field Rep: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Amount Received: \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Precinct #: \_\_\_\_\_  
Assembly: \_\_\_\_\_  
State Senate: \_\_\_\_\_  
Congress: \_\_\_\_\_

